

Identifier (i.e., Patient A, Employee B, Respondent 1) or Name (only if requested by stakeholder)	Organization (if applicable)	Date(s) of outreach	What required stakeholder group did they represent?	If other, please describe	Is this person/organization a resident of the project's service area(s)?	Method of engagement (i.e., phone calls, community forums, focus groups, surveys, etc.)	Did this person/organization participate in the meaningful engagement?	Is this person/organization supportive of the project?	Did this person/organization provide a statement?	If permission is granted to share a statement or quote (250 word max), please include below:	If permission is not granted to share a verbatim statement, please include a summary of the statements below:
Employee 1	Warburg	6/8/2025	employees		Yes	Zoom	Yes	Yes	No		The participant described Warburg's strategic shift from long-term care toward supporting independence and healthy aging. They cited strong community relationships, clinic engagement, and public awareness efforts that can be leveraged for this project. They highlighted the long waitlist in the region for affordable housing, suggesting that the new building will fill quickly, and noted that leadership proactively communicated about the project through monthly town halls and staff meetings. While acknowledging temporary resource challenges during the transition, they emphasized transparency and staff inclusion in planning. They viewed the project as an opportunity to increase campus openness, visibility, and community engagement, while strengthening Warburg's role as a local anchor institution.
Resident	Domino Sisters of Hope	5/8/2025	patients or residents and/or their caregivers		Yes	Zoom	Yes	Yes	No		The participant, a resident of a similar building on campus, praised the safety, cleanliness, and sense of community within existing affordable housing. They described strong maintenance services, accessibility features, and social activities such as communal meals, celebrations, and morning coffee gatherings that help reduce isolation. They recommended ensuring new units include sufficient space, particularly for storing in-unit services, and ensuring accessibility for hearing-impaired residents. They emphasized the value of trained maintenance staff who provide a sense of security and community welcome. Overall, they viewed the model as successful and easily replicable for the new project.
Employee 2	Warburg	5/8/2025	employees		Yes	Phone Call	Yes	Yes	No		The interviewee described the project as a vital addition to Warburg's mission, filling a gap between independent living and nursing care. They outlined the importance of ensuring benefits to ensure safety and independence, maintaining on-site support, and providing transportation and social programming similar to existing senior housing. They noted current translation needs and plans to hire additional staff. They emphasized monitoring resident well-being, ensuring appropriate referrals to health needs change, and maintaining a sense of community and safety as key success indicators.
Respondent 1	Sisters of the Divine Compassion	6/8/2025	community-based organizations		Yes	Zoom	Yes	Yes	No		The interviewee expressed initial concern about the inclusion of long-term care beds but acknowledged the growing need for affordable senior housing and the project's potential to provide value for skilled nursing facilities. They recommended that building design prioritize accessibility, appropriate furniture layout, and height for older adults – and that communication materials and staffing reflect linguistic diversity, particularly for Spanish-speaking residents. They emphasized the importance of maintaining building quality and on-site support services to sustain residents' independence and dignity. They suggested prioritizing current elderly residents for admission and maintaining social work assistance to help benefits access, benefits and food security resources.
Respondent 2	Seashore Learning Center	6/8/2025	community leaders		Yes	Zoom	Yes	Yes	No		The respondent highlighted Warburg's thoughtful, community-oriented approach to redevelopment and its strong record of supporting residents during transitions. They emphasized the importance of financial sustainability, inclusion, and continuity of mission through organizational change. They noted that this project will not overvalue the market but will fill a gap in lower-income housing. They suggested monitoring occupancy rates, average resident tenure, and timing of transitions to higher levels of care as useful outcome measures. They characterized Warburg as an anchor institution well-positioned to integrate education, wellness, and community engagement within its campus.
Respondent 3	Yona University	5/8/2025	community leaders		Yes	Zoom	Yes	Yes	No		The interviewee viewed the project as timely and valuable, addressing senior isolation and affordability challenges. They emphasized the importance of cultural and linguistic competence given the area's diversity, recommending bilingual services and training for staff. They expressed interest in partnering on workforce development, particularly around behavioral health and clinical competence training for healthcare students. They also saw the project as a strong complement to existing campus programs and local health infrastructure, creating integrated and multi-generational learning opportunities. A successful outcome would promote community thriving, social connection, and accessible facilities for older adults.
Employee 3	Warburg	5/10/2025	employees		Yes	Zoom	Yes	Yes	No		The interviewee highlighted the financial and policy rationale for increasing the facility's aging infrastructure, circumstances, medical data, and other policy goals. They noted the importance of understanding the financing structure, including tax credits, rent subsidies, and social impact, and noted that about one-third of units will serve very low-income residents with care coordination needed for the first few years. They described plans for equitable admissions, including language-accessible outreach and third-party applications. Success, they said, will be defined by resident participation in campus life, strong engagement with existing services, and efficient, on-time project delivery.
Employee 4	Warburg	6/10/2025	employees		Yes	Phone Call	Yes	Yes	No		The interviewee described the project as a continuation of Warburg's mission to provide financially sustainable, community-serving programs. They emphasized integrating medical and support services – such as radiology, pharmacy, or wellness programming – into the broader campus network. They cited ongoing healthcare system challenges and regulatory changes of experienced confidence in Warburg's transparent planning process. They stressed that successful implementation should maintain resident stability, foster communication between residents and management, and expand preventive and independent care options. They viewed the project as a stable, secure, and dignified environment for older adults to age in place.
Respondent 4	Morehouse Hospital Mount Vernon	6/10/2025	community leaders		Yes	Phone Call	Yes	Yes	No		The interviewee expressed strong support for converting the Morehouse building into affordable senior housing, describing a significant local shortage of affordable and stable housing, noting that many hospital patients – particularly uninsured, Medicaid-enrolled, or undocumented individuals – are discharged to substandard or unstable settings, creating a cycle of readmission. He emphasized the importance of incorporating a medical model of care into the new housing, including access to primary care, preventive services, vaccinations, nutrition, and pharmacy support. Integrating healthcare on-site would reduce emergency department use and improve residents' quality of life. He also stressed the need for bilingual services to reflect the area's diverse population. Overall, he viewed the project as timely and essential, addressing both housing and health disparities in the community, and highlighted Warburg's unique capacity to provide coordinated, comprehensive care for vulnerable older adults.
Employee 5	Warburg	5/11/2025	employees		Yes	Zoom	Yes	Yes	No		The participant viewed the project positively, noting that residents who previously received care on campus may wish to return to benefits. They emphasized ensuring medical accessibility – on-site staff capable of assisting with emergencies and connections to local hospitals. They described local resident health problems of the participants and emphasized the importance of clear emergency protocols and care coordination. They believed the project would strengthen the safety, familiarity, and convenience of remaining within the existing environment, concluding that the project will strengthen continuity of care for older adults.
Respondent 5	Kings of Columbia	5/11/2025	community leaders		Yes	Zoom	Yes	Yes	No		The participant praised Warburg's commitment to staff and cited positive family experience. They described the need for affordable housing for seniors who rely primarily on Social Security. They saw the project as a strong complement to existing community demand but encouraged including amenities that foster engagement, such as cultural and social activities. They emphasized balancing affordability with access to nearby skilled nursing care and recommended ensuring equitable eligibility criteria. They viewed the project as an opportunity to strengthen community ties while meeting local housing and aging-system needs.
Employee 6	Warburg	5/11/2025	employees		Yes	Zoom	Yes	Yes	No		The participant specified that construction impacts are expected to be minimal, with temporary parking disruptions as the main issue. They described the project as meeting an urgent community need for affordable housing and predicted rapid occupancy once completed. Based on experience managing prior campus projects, they anticipate positive outcomes, reduced congestion, and strong community acceptance. They noted that the new residents will likely have limited transportation needs due to nearby bus and rail access. Overall, they framed the initiative as a natural and beneficial evolution of Warburg's campus and mission.

Respondent 6	Burke Rehabilitation Hospital	6/17/2025	community leaders		Yes	Zoom	Yes	Yes	No		The respondent expressed strong support for the project, emphasizing the need for affordable senior housing with wraparound health and transportation services. They noted that the facility's location between major hospitals is advantageous and that existing partnerships (e.g., rehabilitation and therapy programs) can be expanded to serve residents and community members. They recommended offering educational programs such as fall-prevention lectures and monitoring service accessibility, particularly transportation, which they identified as the greatest challenge. They stressed the importance of listing all available on-site services and maintaining collaboration across healthcare partners to ensure continuity of care and resident safety.
Respondent 7	Westchester Community Health Center	6/23/2025	community leaders		Yes	Zoom	Yes	Yes	No		The interviewee strongly supported the project, emphasizing the urgent regional need for affordable senior housing and healthcare access. They advocated for community engagement through town halls, multilingual outreach, and partnerships with community-based and faith organizations. They noted the potential for co-creating physical care and behavioral health services on campus and recommended fostering collaboration in varying literacy and language levels. However, they noted, should be measured by reducing, reevaluating, and alignment with community demographics. They characterized the project as a model for integrating housing, health, and equity within the local service ecosystem.
Respondent 7	Westburg	6/23/2025	employees		Yes	Zoom	Yes	Yes	No		The interviewee reflected on the emotional and operational aspects of closing the former mental nursing facility and transitioning to affordable housing. They described three scenarios: one was preferable, some to avoid, and others by choice. They expressed support for respecting the building to serve community needs, recognizing that long-term care options are shrinking and this project would fit a regional gap in affordable housing for seniors. They described Westburg as a well-cared-for, secure, and community-oriented campus with valuable transportation and rehabilitation partnerships. Overall, they viewed the project as positive and consistent with Westburg's mission of caring for vulnerable adults, noting that it prioritizes meaningful community benefit over profit.
Respondent 8	St. John's Lutheran Church	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 9	St. Timothy's - Westchester	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 10	St. Michael's Health Mount Vernon	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 11	St. John's - Mount	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 12	County Executive	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 13	Westchester County Executive	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 14	Senator	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 15	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 16	County Executive	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 17	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 18	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 19	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 20	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 21	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 22	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 23	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 24	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 25	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 26	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 27	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 28	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 29	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 30	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 31	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 32	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 33	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 34	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 35	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 36	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 37	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 38	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 39	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 40	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 41	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 42	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 43	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 44	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 45	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 46	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 47	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 48	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 49	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 50	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 51	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 52	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 53	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 54	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 55	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 56	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 57	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 58	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 59	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 60	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 61	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 62	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 63	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 64	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 65	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 66	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 67	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 68	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 69	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 70	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 71	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 72	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 73	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 74	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 75	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 76	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 77	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 78	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 79	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 80	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 81	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 82	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 83	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 84	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 85	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 86	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 87	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 88	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 89	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 90	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 91	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 92	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 93	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 94	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 95	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 96	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 97	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 98	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 99	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				
Respondent 100	Assemblymember	6/26/2025	community leaders		Yes		No				

[illegible]